

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.          | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/808,484               | 03/25/2004     | Colin C.O. Goble     | 2558-78             | 5758             |
| 23117 75                 | 590 04/01/2005 |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
| NIXON & VA               | ANDERHYE, PC   |                      | DAHBOUR, FADI H     |                  |
| 8TH FLOOR                | KOND           |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
| ARLINGTON, VA 22201-4714 |                |                      | 3743                |                  |

DATE MAILED: 04/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |   | Applica   | tion No.   | Applicant(s)  | . 1)        |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|---|-------------|--|--|--|
|   |   | 10/808,   | 484  | GOBLE ET AL.  | 61          |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary                     |   | Examin  | er   | Art Unit  |             |  |  |  |
|   |   | Fadi H. I   | Dahbour  | 3743  |             |  |  |  |
| Period f                                  | The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply  | ation appears on ti   | he cover sheet w   | rith the correspondence addre   | 9SS         |  |  |  |
| THE - Extended - If th - If No - Fail Any | HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC ensions of time may be available under the provisions of r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commune e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) O period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply with reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).   | ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no enication. days, a reply within the story period will apply and ll, by statute, cause the a | event, however, may a<br>atutory minimum of thi<br>will expire SIX (6) MOI<br>oplication to become A | reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this comn  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | nunication. |  |  |  |
| Status                                    |   |   |  |   |             |  |  |  |
| 1)[                                       | Responsive to communication(s) filed  | on  |  |   |             |  |  |  |
| 2a)[                                      |   | )⊠ This action is   | non-final.   |   |             |  |  |  |
| 3)  | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |   |  |   |             |  |  |  |
| Disposit                                  | tion of Claims  |   |  |   |             |  |  |  |
| 5)□<br>6)⊠                                | Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction  | withdrawn from c  |  |   |             |  |  |  |
| Applicat                                  | tion Papers   |   |  |   |             |  |  |  |
| 10)⊠                                      | The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 March 2004</u> Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the three oath or declaration is objected to the specific sheet of the specifi | f is/are: a)⊠ acce<br>ion to the drawing(s)<br>he correction is requ  | be held in abeya   | nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  | ` '         |  |  |  |
| Priority                                  | under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |  |   |             |  |  |  |
| 12)⊠<br>a)                                | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for   All   b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority do   2. Certified copies of the priority do   3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internations   See the attached detailed Office action   | ocuments have be<br>ocuments have be<br>the priority docun<br>al Bureau (PCT Ri   | een received.<br>een received in A<br>nents have beer<br>ule 17.2(a)).                               | Application No. <u>09/773,893</u> .<br>In received in this National Sta   | age         |  |  |  |
| Attachmer                                 |   |   | _  |   |             |  |  |  |
| 2) Notic                                  | ce of References Cited (PTO-892)<br>ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0   | O-948)  |  | Summary (PTO-413)<br>(s)/Mail Date  |             |  |  |  |
| 3) 🛛 Infor                                | rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P <sup>-</sup><br>er No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/25/04</u> .  | TO/SB/08)   |  | Informal Patent Application (PTO-15   | 52)         |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/808,484

Art Unit: 3743

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Double Patenting

1. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

2. Claims 1-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-40 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,758,846. This is a double patenting rejection.

## Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fadi H. Dahbour whose telephone number is 571-272-4792. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9am-5:30pm est.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry A. Bennett, can be reached on (571) 272-4791. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/808,484

Art Unit: 3743

Page 3

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Fadi H. Dahbour Examiner Art Unit 3743